**7:26 AM PST 2022-12-14**

**Call to Order:** 0732

**Meeting Lead:** Joshua BRICKMAN

**Attendees:** 15

**Member Count:** 66

**Next CCitC Meeting:** Wednesday December 21st, 2022

**Next Trusted Provider Sub-Group Meeting:** Wednesday January 4th, 2023

**Highlights:**

* In-Person CCitC meeting Feb 7-9th
  + Additional details provided by Jade Stewart are now available on CCUF Portal
  + Josh to send formal invitation to broader CCitC group

**Old Business:**

~

**New Business:**

* Tom Gallagher provided some feedback and comments on the SFR/FEDRamp mapping document Tyrone Stodart provided at the previous meeting.
  + Tyrone is away for the rest of the year, so review of these comments will take place once he returns / after the holidays.
* Continued work on the Trusted Provider definition document
  + Continued discussion on the meaning and intent of a Trusted Provider and what they might provide
  + Discussion on decoupling Trusted Platform and Trusted Provider
    - Anne Gugel raised the idea that a provider should not be trusted if their platform has not been evaluated, and that the trusted “value” comes from the evaluation of the platform.
  + Discussion on who the onus is on to get information from a cloud service provider during an evaluation, and whether it would be reasonable to expect the Lab or the Vendor to do this.
  + Discussion on how cloud authorizations might be done in countries other than the United States, and what areas of testing might be required for equivalence.
  + Discussion on Assurance Maintenance and how it might be impacted by cloud evaluations, including some differences between USA and Canadian Schemes.
  + Discussion on the issue of multi-tenancy isolation/segmentation/etc. Potential gap here
    - FEDramp to be considered here on how this gets dealt with and how to document this requirement to ensure viability in the context of evaluations.
  + Brandon raised the issue of remote testing.
    - LabGram 78 from NIAP (under the Resources section of NIAP website) describes remote testing requirements. This was raised as an issue in the CC-in-the-cloud GitHub as NIAP will need to propose new wording for remote testing policy for cloud evaluations.

**Questions/Follow-ups:**

**~**

***End of Meeting – Adjourned 0900 PST***